



R O S I A

REMOTE REHABILITATION SERVICE FOR ISOLATED AREAS

INTERIM EVALUATION OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PHASE 2 (SECOND MINUTES)

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 101017606





INTERIM EVALUATION OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PHASE 2

(SECOND MINUTES)

EVALUATION COMMITTEE

INTERIM EVALUATION FOR THE PAYMENT OF 50% THE PRICE OFFERED BY CONTRACTORS FOR PHASE 2 OF THE ROSIA PCP

Reference:ROSIA PCP 101017606

***PRE-COMMERCIAL PUBLIC PROCUREMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES TO PATIENTS IN NEED OF
TELE-REHABILITATION IN ISOLATED AREAS, ENHANCED BY EDGE TECHNOLOGY, NEW CARE
PATHWAYS AND COMMUNITY SUPPORT (ROSIA)***

16th of October 2023,

EVALUATION COMMITTEE

Chair:

- Alba de Martino. IACS
(Substitute: David Betrán. IACS)

Members:

- David Betrán	IACS
- Ana Belén Morata	SALUD (IACS)
- Francisco J. Martón	SALUD (IACS)
- Jesús Olano	SALUD (IACS)
- José María Abad	SALUD
- Elena García	SALUD
- Samuel Monux	SALUD
- Eva Morte	SALUD
- Sam Dunwoody	NRH
- Patrick Noonan	NRH
- Cara McDonagh	NRH
- Orla McEvoy	NRH
- Rui Gomes	CHUC
- Cidália Rodrigues	CHUC
- Joao Sargento	CHUC
- Sandra Sousa	CHUC

This document contains the consensus reached by the members of the Evaluation Committee regarding the contractors' performance during Phase 2 of the ROSIA PCP, in order to make an interim payment of the 50% of the budget awarded for this Phase.

After the individual evaluations of the three contractors by the members of the Evaluation Committee, assisted by a summary report from the Monitoring Team, a Consensus meeting was celebrated on August 10th, 2023, to decide on the satisfactory performance of the three contractors participating in the ROSIA procurement process Phase 2.

The following contractors are implementing Phase 2:

Contractors in Phase 2
ETHNIKO KENTRO (RAISE Project)
Fundació Eurecat (REHABILIFY Project –former PROHAB-)
GMV Soluciones Globales Internet S.A.U (REHABILITA+ Project)

During Phase 2, the contract implementation has been monitored periodically and reviewed by the Monitoring Team designated by the Buyers Group. Each supervisor from the Monitoring Team has held several meetings with their assigned contractor, checking against the expected outcomes for the phase (milestones, deliverables, and output or results) and providing them with the required feedback.

The corresponding supervisors elaborated a summary report assessing the Phase 2 Prototypes v2 Iteration (D2.3) delivered by contractors on 24/07/23. This summary also incorporated inputs for some ROSIA partners that, based on their expertise, revised specific sections of the deliverable.

The Monitoring Team summary report was provided to the Evaluation Committee members to help them assess the contractors' performance.

The members of the Evaluation Committee carried out their assessments individually, having access to all the contractors' deliverables and to the abovementioned Monitoring Team summary report.

On August 10th 2023 a dedicated Consensus Meeting of the members of the Evaluation Committee was held to reach a consensus on the interim evaluation of each contractor and, if their performance to date in Phase 2 (D2.3) was considered satisfactory, then proceed with the corresponding payment of 50% of the budget awarded for this Phase.

In this regard, the assessment of GMV Soluciones Globales Internet S.A.U. (REHABILITA+ Project) remained pending after the Consensus Meeting of the Evaluation Committee held on August 10th 2023.



1. RULES FOR THE ASSESSMENT

Payment depends on the satisfactory completion of the deliverables and milestones for the corresponding period of Phase 2 and will be assessed by the ROSIA Evaluation Committee.

As described in TD1 and in the Call for tender for Phase 2, 50% of the price offered by the contractor will be paid after the buyer's group declares the satisfactory completion of the Phase 2 Prototypes v2 Iteration (D2.3), as described in the Expected outcomes section (2.1). The progress presented by contractors was evaluated according to the following requirements:

- if the work corresponding to the milestone / deliverable has been carried out
- if a reasonable minimum quality has been delivered
- if the reports have been submitted on time
- if the feedback provided by the Monitoring Team has been addressed properly by the contractor making required changes or improvements or giving a sufficient justification for not having made them.

2. ASSESSMENT AND RESULTS OF PREVIOUS CONSENSUS MEETING

The assessment of the Evaluation Committee of the three contractors as reflected in the minutes of the Consensus Meeting on August 10th 2023 and published in PLASCP, was the following:

RAISE PROJECT (ETHNIKO KENTRO)	REHABILIFY (former PROHAB) PROJECT (EURECAT)	REHABILITA+ PROJECT (GMV)
Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Pending

The decision of the Evaluation Committee was to consider the implementation of Phase 2 so far for Eurecat and Ethniko Kentro as satisfactory. Subsequently, these entities were entitled to receive the payment of the 50% of the awarded budget for Phase 2.

The assessment of GMV was not fully completed since not enough appointed evaluators (60% needed for quorum) had conducted their assessment before the Consensus Meeting.

3. DECISION ON GMV'S PERFORMANCE

The members of the Evaluation Committee whose assessment of GMV was pending were contacted after the Consensus Meeting held in August 10th and were requested their evaluation.

A quorum was reached on August 29th and the result was "not satisfactory" by simple majority.

As this was a close result, and considering the importance of all participating contractors working on the ROSIA solution to achieve the best results for the PCP, the ROSIA consortium contacted GMV to discuss the situation.

GMV was informed of the missing or not properly addressed elements in their initial D2.3 report and showed a willingness to correct the identified shortcomings within a reasonable time and a commitment to achieve the expected objectives of the tender in good faith.

The Evaluation Committee therefore has agreed by consensus that the lead procurer shall give GMV a reasonable period of time, namely until October 31st 2023, to correct and resubmit a new and improved version of D2.3 to have it re-evaluated by the Evaluation Committee, in order to proceed or not with the interim payment of Phase 2. This change does not affect any other deadlines established for the implementation of Phase 2.

This decision is supported by the provisions in TD1 and the Framework Agreement signed by all the contractors¹

And for the record where appropriate for the appropriate purposes, have signed these minutes,

ALBA DE MARTINO

CHAIR OF EVALUATION COMMITTEE

¹ Art 5.5.2 of TD1: *Where the ROSIA Technical Committee judges the completion of deliverables or milestones to be unsatisfactory, the buyer's group may decide to reduce or withdraw payments for that deliverable and/or may terminate the contract according to Article 19 of the Framework Agreement.*

Art 18.5 of the Framework agreement: *If the Contractor fails to deliver Results or other Deliverables compliant with the Framework Agreement, the Lead Procurer shall give the Contractor the opportunity to amend, within an appropriate period. If the Lead Procurer is still not satisfied after the expiry of such cure period it may (at its discretion):*

- *Withhold payments until satisfactory delivery*
- *Deduct payments in case of no satisfactory delivery. The ratio of the deduction will be determined by the Buyers Group. The impact and importance of the deliverable(s) and result(s) which have not been delivered or completed satisfactorily will be taken into account when determining the ratio of the deduction.*
- *Cancel payments*
- *Exclude the Contractor from any subsequent Phases on the basis that the Contractor has not successfully completed the present Phase and/or*
- *Terminate the Framework Agreement and/or any Specific Contract (see hereunder).*



R O S I A

REMOTE REHABILITATION SERVICE FOR ISOLATED AREAS